

No. 9(1)82-6Lab/7345.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Roneo Vickers India Limited, 14/2 Mathura Road, Faridabad.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI HARISINGH, KAUSHIK, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 36 of 1982

between

SHRI P. A. MANNADIAR, WORKMAN AND THE RESPONDENT MANAGEMENT OF M/S RONEO VICKERS INDIA LIMITED, 14/2, MATHURA ROAD, FARIDABAD

Present.—

Shri M. K. Bhandari for the workman

Shri K. B. L. Malik, for the management.

AWARD

This reference No. 36 of 1982 has been referred to this Court by the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana,—vide his order No. ID/FD/10/82/7867, dated 23rd February, 1982 under section 10 (i) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, existing between Shri P. A. Mannadiar workman and the respondent-management of M/s Roneo Vickers India Ltd., 14/2, Mathura Road, Faridabad. The term of the reference was:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri P. A. Mannadiar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

After receiving this reference, notices were sent to the parties. The parties appeared and filed their pleadings. On 20th May, 1982, the representative of the management made a statement in this Court that the workman has tendered his resignation to the management which was accepted. He further stated that the management are ready to give his legal dues amounting Rs. 3275—10 to the workman. This statement was duly admitted by the representative of the workman. This payment was made to the representative of the workman through Bank Cheque No. 80H/833247/A/C No. 440544, dated 18th May, 1982 by the management. The parties further stated that there is no dispute between the parties.

In the light of the above statement of the parties, I hold that the case had been settled between the parties and there is no dispute between the parties. No orders as to costs. I give my award accordingly.

Dated, the 1st July, 1982.

HARI SINGH KAUSHIK,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Faridabad.

Endst. No. 1555, dated 14th July, 1982.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947, with the request that the receipt of the above said award may please be acknowledged within week's time.

HARI SINGH KAUSHIK,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Faridabad.

No. 9(1)-82-6Lab/7351.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s J. B. Industries, 66—67 Durga Garden, Jagadhri.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI HARI SINGH KAUSHIK, PRESIDING OFFICER,
LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 167 of 1979

(Fbd. 329 of 1981)

between

SHRI PADAM SAINI, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S INDUSTRIES,
66-67 DURGA GARDEN, JAGADHRI

Shri Surinder Kumar, for the workman.

Shri W. C. Sharma, for the management.

AWARD

This reference No. 167 of 1979 has been referred to the Labour Court Rohtak by the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana,—vide his order No. ID/YMN/125-79/38422, dated 3rd September, 1979, under section 10 (i) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication of the dispute existing between Shri Padam Saini workman and the management of M/s J. B. Industries, 66-67, Dnrga Garden, Jigaithri. The term of the reference was :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Padam Saini was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

After receiving this reference, notices were sent to the parties by the Labour Court, Rohtak. The parties appeared and filed their pleadings. On the pleadings of the parties, issues were framed on 27th February, 1980 and the case was fixed for the evidence of the parties. After that the Haryana Government transferred this case to this Court,—vide order No. 1 (79)-80-I-Lab, dated 20th October, 1981. And this case was received in this Court in December, 1981 in reference No. Fbd. 329/1981.

After receiving this case file, notices were sent to the parties. The parties appeared. On 8th April, 1982 the case was fixed for the evidence of the workman, the representative of the management made a statement in this Court that the workman had settled his case with the management mutually and also filed the photo copy of settlement Exhibit M-1. He further stated that the workman does not want to pursue his case and that withdrawn the same. He also stated there is no dispute between the parties. On the other hand neither the workman nor his representative was present. It was already 12.10 P. M. The case was called thrice.

In the light of the above statement of the representative of the management, I hold that the workman is not interested to pursue his case. So the reference is bad in law and there is no dispute between the parties. I give my award accordingly. No. orders as to costs.

HARI SINGH KAUSHIK,

Dated the 1st July, 1982.

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana, Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 1561, dated the 14th July, 1982.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, with the request that the receipt of the above said award may please be acknowledged within week's time.

HARI SINGH KAUSHIK,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana, Faridabad.

No. 9(1)82-6Lab./7352.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Contractor Ajij C/o Thakur Khichhu Singh Ajronda, Faridabad.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI HARI SINGH KAUSHIK, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 1 of 1982

between

SHRI KRISAAN SHARMA, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S
CONTRACTOR AJIJ C/O THAKUR KHICHHU SINGH, AJRONDA,
FARIDABAD

Shri R. L. Sharma, for the workman.

Shri None, for the management.

AWARD

This reference No. 1 of 1982 has been referred to this Court. by the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana,—*vide* his order No. ID/FD/257-81/615, dated 5th January, 1982 under section 10(i) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication of the dispute existing between Shri Krishan Sharma workman and the management of M/s. Contractor Ajij c/o Thakur Khichhu Singh, Ajrona, Faridabad. The terms of the reference was:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Krishan Sharma, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

After receiving this reference, notices were sent to the parties. But the notice which was sent to the management returned back with the Peon's remarks that as per statement of Shri Khichhu Singh Shri Ajij was a tennet and now he has left away. Then the representative of the workman was directed to file the correct address of the respondent management. On 15th April, 1982 neither the workman nor his representative was present. It was already 2.50 P. M. The case was called thrice. In these circumstances, I feel that the workman is not interested to pursue his case. So I hold that the reference is bad in law and the case is dismissed in default of the parties. No order as to costs. I give my award accordingly.

HARI SINGH KAUSHIK,

Dated the 1st July, 1982.

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana, Faridabad.

Endst. No. 1562, dated the 14th July, 1982

Forwarded (four copies) to the Commissioner and Secretary to Govnment, Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, with the request that the receipt of the above said award may please be acknowledged within week's time.

HARI SINGH KAUSHIK,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana, Faridabad.

No. 9(1)82-6Lab./7222.— In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Northern India Iron and Steel Co., 20/3, Milestone, Mathura Road, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI M. C. BHARDWAJ, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 151/1982

between

SHRI SURJAN, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S NORTHERN INDIA
IRON AND STEEL CO., 20/3, MILESTONE, MATHURA ROAD,
FARIDABAD

Present:—

Shri Surjan, workman concerned.

Shri K. P. Aggarwal with Shri Keshav Personnel Manager for the management.

AWARD

The State Government of Haryana referred the following dispute between the workman Shri Surjan and the management of M/s Northern India Iron and Steel Co., 20/3 Milestone Mathura Road, Faridabad, by order No. ID/FD/36/82/21275, dated 7th May, 1982, to this Tribunal for adjudication, in exercise of powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Surjan was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

Notice were sent to the parties. The workman was present but the management did not appear despite service and the case fixed for filing the written statement. On the date fixed, Shri Keshav Personal Manager of the respondent company made a statement that the workman had settled his dispute with the management and had received a sum of Rs 6,000 in settlement of his disputes and all other claims. He filed a copy of receipt Ex. M-1, and the workman endorsed above the statement.

In view of the above, I pass my award that the dispute has been mutually settled by the parties and there remains nothing for adjudication.

Dated the 7th July, 1982.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 765, dated the 10th July, 1982.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.

The 28th July, 1982

No. 9(1)82-6Lab./6673. — In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. Victory Engineering Corporation, Plot No. 257 Sector-24, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI M. C. BHARDWAJ, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 354/1981

between

SHRI UDAY PAL, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S VICTORY ENGINEERING CORPORATION, PLOT NO. 257, SECTOR-24, FARIDABAD

Present.—

Shri R. L. Sharma, for the workman.
Shri Ram Saroop Arora, for the management.

AWARD

The State Government of Haryana referred the following dispute between the workman Shri Uday Pal and the management of M/s. Victory Engineering Corporation, Plot No. 257, Sector-24, Faridabad, by order No. ID/FD/132/81/53974, dated 3rd November, 1981, to this Tribunal, for adjudication in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Uday Pal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

Notices of the reference were sent to the parties who appeared and filed their pleadings. On the last date of hearing the representative of the workman made a statement that the workman was not available. Therefore, he was not interested in contesting the case on behalf of the workman.

In this circumstance, I presume that the workman was not interested in pursuing this reference. I pass no dispute award.

Dated the 24th June, 1982.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 715, dated the 26th June, 1982.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.